

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	D APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
07/920.519	07/28/92	CAPUT	D	_16781/27	6
			еснит ске	L.D. E.	XAMINER
		18M2/0228			
FOLEY & LA	RDNER		<u></u>		
SUITE 500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2000 V CTD	MI				

3000 K STREET. NW WASH, DC 20007-5109

DATE MAILED: 02/28/94

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

a) is extended to run	X	ТН	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:				
Any eventation of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining one of obtaination and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension ties pursuant to 37 CFR 1.192(a). Appellants Brief is due in accordance with 48 feel and 48 feel	a)		is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection				
Ine date of which the response, the petition, and this be have been filled is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed	b)		expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.				
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed			on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee purposes to 37 CEP				
to place the application in condition for allowance: 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation would accord materially rejected claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation would be under the	X	Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descend the highest that it is not the claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descend the highest that it is not the claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descend the highest that it is not the claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descend the highest that it is not the claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descend the highest that it is not the claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descend the highest that it is not the claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descend the highest that it is not the claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descend the claims and the highest that it is not the claims will be entered in the highest that it is not the claims will be entered in the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because of the claims of the claims will be affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.			plicant's response to the final rejection, filed has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed place the application in condition for allowance:				
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descend finally rejected claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descend finally rejected claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descend finally rejected claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descend finally rejected claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descend finally rejected claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descend finally rejected claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descend finally rejected claims. ROTE: An absence of under experimentation descend finally rejected claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because of the understand of t	1.	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:					
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation descent mean trained. A declaration in Advication would be small be under the better thanks the language of the small be under the better thanks. A declaration would be small be under the better thanks the language of			a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.				
d They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation is despot mean trivial. A declaration in the considered by the examiner.		b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).					
appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: An absence of under experimentation derent mean trivial. A declaration indicating under a state of the considered and the tailoful + would be considered. As well as declaration for large filtered. Union undered daims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However: Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because of the claims of the claims will be a followed: The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.			c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).				
NOTE: An absence of under experimentation does not mean trivial. A declaration indication and the status of the considered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Newly proposed or amended claims			d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.				
Newly proposed or amended claims would be entered in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed:			e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☐ will be entered ☐ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed:			as well as declaration for long fethreed.				
the non-allowable claims. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Second Proposed drawing or earlier The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	2.	П					
Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because and a proposed drawing correction. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.			the non-allowable claims.				
Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because and the property of the proposed drawing correction in the proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	3.		Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:				
Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because and a note that the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.			Claims allowed:				
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because and the state of th			•				
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because note. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.			,				
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.							
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.							
presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	4.)	∡ .	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because and see note to the second of the seco				
_	5. [_ :	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.				
	Πт	he r	proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examinar				
☐ Other	_						

ROBERT A. WAX SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 180